BEST AVAILABLE COPY

depended from original claim 1. Thus, the recitation was in the original disclosure. An original claim complies with the Section 112 invention description requirement. In re Koller, 613 F.2d 819, 823-824, 204 USPQ 702, 706 (CCPA 1980). See also Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc., 323 F.3d 956 (Fed. Cir. 2002).

In order to comply with the written description requirement, the specification "need not describe the claimed subject matter in exactly the same terms as used in the claim; it must simply indicate to persons skilled in the art that as of the [filing] date the applicant had invented what is now claimed". <u>Eiselstein v Frank</u>, 52 F.3d 1035, 1038, 34 USPQ 2d 1467, 1470 (Fed. Cir. 1995). See also, <u>Crown Operations International</u>, <u>Ltd. v Solutia Inc.</u>, 289 F.3d 1367, 1376, 62 USPQ 2d 1917 (Fed. Cir. 2002) wherein the Court held that in order to satisfy the written description requirement, the disclosure as originally filed does not have to provide in *haec verba* support for the claimed subject matter at issue. The Court stated that "the disclosure must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that the inventor was in possession of the invention". Clearly, applicant's disclosure conveys with reasonable clarity that the applicant was in possession of the invention claimed at the time of filing the application.

Applicant has illustrated the best mode of the invention at the time of filing of the application. For example in the embodiment of Figures 2 and 3, the pln 48 is moveable whereas the catch 40 is fixedly mounted. This embodiment fully supports the recitations of claim 1. Illustration of an embodiment wherein the catch 40 is moveable is not a requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph for reasons as expressed above.

Accordingly, a rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 9 and 11-14 is not warranted pursuant to the provisions of 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and such is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Francis C. Hand Reg. No. 22,280

CARELLA, BYRNE BAIN, GILFILLAN, CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN

Five Becker Farm Road Roseland, NJ 07068 Phone: 973-994-1700

#234488 vl - Amendment

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

efects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:	
□ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	·
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	•
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR Q	UALITY
□ OTHER.	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.